

4-Step Refutation (vs. Protecting Env.)

1. *They Said...*

Banning SUP will reduce harm to the environment

2. *We Say...*

Banning SUP will not reduce harm to the environment

3. *Because...*

a. *First...* Alternatives are Worse for the Env.
Competitive Enterprise Institute, July 13, 2018
ex. More energy & more damage

b. *Also...* Causing Greater Env. Harm
Enhesa, LA: December 2023
ex. thicker bags & wasting natural resources

c. *Finally...* Alternatives Still Harm Marine Animals
TIME Magazine, November 28, 2023
ex. Chemicals make them stronger & last longer

4. *Therefore...*

Banning SUP will not reduce harm to the environment

4-Step Refutation (vs. Protecting Health)

1. *They Said...*

Banning SUP will reduce harm to people's health

2. *We Say...*

Banning SUP will not reduce harm to people's health

3. *Because...*

a. *First...* Banning Plastic Increases Health Risks
Competitive Enterprise Institute, July 13, 2018
ex. More sanitary = prevents spread of diseases

b. *Also...* Alternatives Use Same Toxic Chemicals
TIME Magazine, November 28, 2023
ex. Chemicals (same as plastics) = still harmful

4. *Therefore...*

Banning SUP will not reduce harm to people's health